

Agency:

Date:

Project Name:

Reviewer:

| Evaluation Categories – PSH/SH Programs | Goal | Max Points | Project Performance | Score |
|--|---------|------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>I. HUD Priorities, Capacity, Community Needs – Maximum Points Available = 75 Points</i> | | | | |
| Serving HUD’s Target Population <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Example: Veterans >10 = Max 5 pts, Family & Youth = Max 5 pts | Yes | 10 pts | | |
| % of Chronically Homeless Clients Served | 100% | 35 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Serves 100% CH | | 35 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Serves 75% -99.9% CH | | 15 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Serves 40% - 74.9% CH | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Serves less than 39.9% CH | | 0 | | |
| Capacity | >95% | 10 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 95% - 100% | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 80% - 94.9% | | 8 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 65% - 74.9% | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 65% | | 0 | | |
| % of PSH Retention (6 Months or Longer) | >/= 80% | 7 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 80% - 100% | | 7 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60% - 79.9% | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 60% | | 0 | | |
| Rate of Return to Shelter | </= 12% | 6 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 12% | | 6 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12% - 25% | | 4 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 25.1% - 39.9% | | 2 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More than 40% | | 0 | | |
| % with PSH Destination at Exit | >/= 85% | 7 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 85% - 100% | | 7 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 70% - 84.9% | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 30% – 69.9% | | 2 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Less than 30% | | 0 | | |
| <i>I. HUD Priorities, Capacity, Community Needs</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |

| Evaluation Categories – PSH/SH Programs | Goal | Max Points | Project Performance | Score |
|---|--------------|------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>II. Projects Participant Impact – Maximum Points Available = 25 Points</i> | | | | |
| % of Earned Income at Exit | >/= 35% | 10 pts | | |
| • More or Equal to 35% | | 10 | | |
| • 19% – 34.9% | | 6 | | |
| • 6% - 18.9% | | 4 | | |
| • Less than 6% | | 0 | | |
| % of Non-Cash Benefits at Exit | >/= 90% | 10 pts | | |
| • More or Equal to 90% | | 10 | | |
| • 75% – 89.9% | | 6 | | |
| • 30% - 74.9% | | 4 | | |
| • Less than 30% | | 0 | | |
| % of Other Income | >/= 70% | 5 pts | | |
| • More or Equal to 70% | | 5 | | |
| • 35% – 69.9% | | 2 | | |
| • Less than 35% | | 0 | | |
| <i>II. Projects Participant Impact</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |
| <i>III. Compliance – Maximum Point Reduction = -40 Points</i> | | | | |
| % of HUD Funds Returned | None | 0 pts | | |
| • 1% - 20% | | -6 | | |
| • >/= 20% | | -15 | | |
| Active CoC Participation | All Meetings | 0 pts | | |
| • 3 or More Absences | | -5 | | |
| • 2 Absences | | -3 | | |
| HMIS Quality Data Entry | No | 0 pts | | |
| • Data Entry Errors Above 10% | | -10 | | |
| Timely Submission of APR | Yes | 0 pts | | |
| • Did Not Submit APR by Deadline | | -5 | | |
| • Amended After Timely Submission | | -3 | | |
| <i>III. Compliance</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |
| PSH/SH Total Project Score | | | | |

Project's Ranking Number:

Agency:

Date:

Project Name:

Reviewer:

| Evaluation Categories – TH Program | Goal | Max Points | Project Performance | Score |
|---|---------|------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>I. HUD Priorities, Capacity, Community Needs – Maximum Points Available = 60 Points</i> | | | | |
| Serving HUD’s Target Population <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Example: Veterans 10% = Max 5 pts, Family & Youth = Max 5 pts | Yes | 10 pts | | |
| % of Chronically Homeless Clients Served | 100% | 10 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves More than 25% CH | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves 10% -24.9% CH | | 7 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves 5% -9.9% CH | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves less than 5% CH | | 0 | | |
| Capacity | >95% | 10 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 95% - 100% | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 80% - 94.9% | | 8 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 65% - 79.9% | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 65% | | 0 | | |
| Rate of Return to Shelter | </= 12% | 5 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 12% | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12% - 25% | | 3 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25.1% - 39.9% | | 1 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 40% | | 0 | | |
| % with PSH Destination at Exit | >/= 85% | 25 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% - 100% | | 25 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 60% - 84.9% | | 15 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30% – 59.9% | | 5 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 30% | | 0 | | |
| <i>I. HUD Priorities, Capacity, Community Needs</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |

| Evaluation Categories –TH Program | Goal | Max Points | Project Performance | Score |
|---|--------------|------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>II. Projects Participant Impact – Maximum Points Available = 40 Points</i> | | | | |
| % of Earned Income at Exit | >/= 35% | 15 pts | | |
| • More or Equal to 35% | | 15 | | |
| • 19% – 34.9% | | 8 | | |
| • 6% - 18.9% | | 5 | | |
| • Less than 6% | | 0 | | |
| % of Non-Cash Benefits at Exit | >/= 90% | 20 pts | | |
| • More or Equal to 90% | | 20 | | |
| • 75% – 89.9% | | 17 | | |
| • 60% - 74.9% | | 8 | | |
| • Less than 60% | | 0 | | |
| % of Other Income | >/= 70% | 5 pts | | |
| • More or Equal to 70% | | 5 | | |
| • 35% – 69.9% | | 2 | | |
| • Less than 35% | | 0 | | |
| <i>II. Projects Participant Impact</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |
| <i>III. Compliance – Maximum Point Reduction = -40 Points</i> | | | | |
| % of HUD Funds Returned | None | 0 pts | | |
| • 1% - 20% | | -6 | | |
| • >/= 20 | | -15 | | |
| Active CoC Participation | All Meetings | 0 pts | | |
| • 3 or More Absences | | -5 | | |
| • 2 Absences | | -3 | | |
| HMIS Quality Data Entry | No | 0 pts | | |
| • Data Entry Errors Above 10% | | -10 | | |
| Timely Submission of APR | Yes | 0 pts | | |
| • Did Not Submit APR by Deadline | | -5 | | |
| • Amendment After Timely Submission | | -3 | | |
| <i>III. Compliance</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |
| TH Total Project Score | | | | |

Project’s Ranking Number:

Agency:

Date:

Project Name:

Reviewer:

| Evaluation Categories – SSO Program | Goal | Max Points | Project Performance | Score |
|---|---------|------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>I. HUD Priorities, Cost, Community Needs – Maximum Points Available = 100 Points</i> | | | | |
| Serving HUD’s Target Population <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Example: Veterans 10% = Max 5 pts, Family & Youth = Max 5 pts | Yes | 10 pts | | |
| % of Chronically Homeless Clients Served | 100% | 20 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves More than 25% CH | | 20 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves 10% -24.9% CH | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves 5% -9.9% CH | | 7 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Serves less than 5% CH | | 0 | | |
| Cost Per Client | < \$500 | 35 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than \$500 | | 35 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$501 - \$1000 | | 20 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1001 - \$2000 | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater than \$2000 | | 0 | | |
| Rate of Return to Shelter | </= 12% | 10 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 12% | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12% - 25% | | 8 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25.1% - 39.9% | | 4 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 40% | | 0 | | |
| % Housing Stability | > 85% | 25 pts | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% - 100% | | 25 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50% - 84.9% | | 15 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15% – 49.9% | | 10 | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less than 15% | | 0 | | |
| <i>I. HUD Priorities, Cost, Community Needs</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |

| Evaluation Categories –SSO Program | Goal | Max Points | Project Performance | Score |
|--|--------------|------------|---------------------|-------|
| <i>II. Compliance – Maximum Point Reduction = -40 Points</i> | | | | |
| % of HUD Funds Returned | None | 0 pts | | |
| • 1% - 20% | | -6 | | |
| • >/= 20% | | -15 | | |
| Active CoC Participation | All Meetings | 0 pts | | |
| • 3 or More Absences | | -5 | | |
| • 2 Absences | | -3 | | |
| HMIS Quality Data Entry | No | 0 pts | | |
| • Data Entry Errors Above 10% | | -10 | | |
| Timely Submission of APR | Yes | 0 pts | | |
| • Did Not Submit APR by Deadline | | -10 | | |
| • Amendment After Timely Submission | | -5 | | |
| <i>II. Compliance</i> | | | <i>Sub-Total</i> | |
| SSO Total Project Score | | | | |

Project's Ranking Number:

Agency:

Date:

Project Name:

Reviewer:

| New Project Evaluation Tool (PSH – CH or RRH) | Max Points | Project Score |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| <p><u>Program Design</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Target Population Identified• Reasonable HUD Projected Outcomes• Projected Outcomes Address Homeless Needs/Issues• Project Coordinates with other CoC Sources/Partners• Project will increase # of homeless being served• Project Location- an existing facility or is it new; one site or multiple sites• Design incorporates multiple methods of outreach• Project incorporates working with special population (Veterans, Persons w/Aids, Youth, Families, Physical and/or Mentally Ill, etc.) | 40 | |
| <p><u>Project Budget</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Budget Lines Items are HUD Eligible• Administration Budget is </= 7.0%• Budget Line Items are reasonable• Match Funds are noted• Leverage Funds are noted• Other funding sources are being used in project | 30 | |

| New Project Evaluation Tool (PSH – CH or RRH) | Max Points | Project Score |
|--|------------|---------------|
| <p><u>Home – Based Services Offered</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Services are eligible as defined by CoC rules (24 CFR part 578.53) • Do the services align with agency’s mission, capacity and previous community experience • Are any of the services contracted to sub-recipient, partner or non-partner to achieve HUD Outcomes? | 10 | |
| <p><u>Agency Capacity</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solid & demonstrated management structure • Previous Community Experience in providing housing and homeless services • Demonstrated sound financial accounting system • Experience with HUD funded homeless assistance grants • Sufficient Staffing Levels to accommodate new project (Team Leader, Case Manager, Housing Specialists) • Levels of Staff Retention for current homeless operations | 10 | |
| <p><u>Agency Community/Stakeholder Experience</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History of implementing homeless projects successfully • Active CoC member/Community Stakeholder –advocating for ending homelessness • Current/Previous experience with HUD homeless funding; if yes did it involve returning funds within last 12 months to HUD due to lack of expenditures • Unresolved monitoring/audit findings within last 12 months with HUD on grant funded items or local participating jurisdiction | 10 | |
| Total Available Points | 100 | |

Project Ranking Number: