

2015 NOFA Criteria (pp. 41-53)

SECTION	CRITERION	POINTS
1. CoC Coordination and Engagement HUD says 49 points; adds up to 50	a. Inclusive structure and participation	7
	b. CoC commitment to Open Doors Goals	2
	c. Coordination with Con Plan, ESG and Other	8
	d. Addressing the needs of victims of domestic violence	3
	e. Public Housing Agencies (admission preference for homeless)	3
	f. Discharge planning coordination	2
	g. Coordinated assessment system	3
	h. Reducing barriers to housing (75% of projects are low barrier/commit to being low barrier)	6
	i. Housing First for Permanent Housing (75% of PSH & RRH)	6
	j. Outreach (prevent criminalization of homelessness, 100% coverage)	2
	k. Rapid re-housing (increase in # of RRH units per 2015 HIC)	5
	l. Mainstream benefits	2
	m. Leveraging (minimum of 150%)	1
2. Project Ranking, Review and Capacity 26 points	a. Objective criteria and past performance (documentation of objective criteria used to review project applications (10), consideration of past performance (3), consideration of severity of needs and vulnerabilities (3))	16
	b. Ranking and selection process (published written policies, documentation in meeting minutes of process, publication on website)	3
	c. Recipient performance monitoring (monitoring grantees for utilization, housing stability, eligibility, length of time homeless, exit destination, participant income, mainstream benefits)	4
	d. Accuracy of GIW	1
	e. Accuracy of project submissions	2
3. Homeless Management Information System 18 points	a. HMIS governance (governance charter that addresses HMIS)	2
	b. HMIS policy and procedures (HMIS policy and procedures manual with signed agreements)	3
	c. Housing Inventory Count	1
	d. Bed coverage (86% or higher for geographic area)	4
	e. Data quality (10% or less # of null or missing values on day – last ten days of January 2015)	4
	f. Required HMIS reports (ability to generate HUD required reports)	4
4. Point-in-Time Count 9 points	a. PIT count and data submission (count last 10 days of January and PIT submission by May 15)	3
	b. Methodology for sheltered PIT	2
	c. Methodology for unsheltered PIT count	2
	d. Commitment to conducting a 2016 unsheltered PIT count in 2016	2
5. System Performance	g. Reducing the number of homeless individuals and families (decrease in sheltered and unsheltered 2014 PIT compared to 2015 PIT as reported in HDX)	9

<p>Note: Section starts with letter g</p> <p>38 points</p>	<p>h. Reduction in the # of first time homeless (process by which risk factors are ID'd for becoming homeless and prevention strategies in place)</p>	<p>2</p>
	<p>i. Length of time homeless (evidence that length of time homeless has been reduced)</p>	<p>6</p>
	<p>j. Successful permanent housing placement or retention (80%+ exit TH, SSO and RRH to permanent housing; 80% of PSH participants remain at least 12 months)</p>	<p>8</p>
	<p>k. Returns to homelessness (strategy to reduce repeat utilization; use of HMIS to track)</p>	<p>5</p>
	<p>l. Jobs and income growth (strategies to increase income from employment and non-employment sources)</p>	<p>5</p>
	<p>m. Thoroughness of outreach (ability to ID and engage unsheltered)</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>6. Performance and Strategic Planning</p> <p>60 points</p>	<p>a. Ending chronic homelessness (2014 strategies accomplished, PSH CH priority, % of PSH available through turnover (85%+) for CH, maintenance/increase in CH beds, reduction in CH per 2014/2015 PIT))</p>	<p>15</p>
	<p>b. Ending homelessness among households with children (Prioritization based on need, plan to rapidly re-house within 30 days of becoming homeless, implementation of RRH model/increase in units, no separation of families, decrease in #)</p>	<p>15</p>
	<p>c. Ending youth homelessness (strategies to address unaccompanied youth/trafficking, increase in # of youth coming into system from Category 1, plan to increase funding for unaccompanied youth homeless programs in 2016, collaboration with LEA, collaboration)</p>	<p>15</p>
	<p>d. Ending veteran homelessness (decrease in #, 75% reduction in total # 2010 compared to 2015, in our case it would be 2009 which is good because the county tally was larger, demonstration of collaboration with VA, HUD-VASH and SSVF, priority for vets not eligible for VA programs)</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>7. Bonus Points</p> <p>3 points</p>	<p>On time submission of application and CoC priority listing by 7:59:59 p.m. on November 19, 2015</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>203</p>		<p>204</p>